

Hence, as originally filed, the specification does indeed provide support for the very recitation that Examiner finds to be unsupported.

(b) In the second paragraph at page 7 of the specification, the following is stated:

"It has been found desirable to regulate the transistor inversion frequency, determined mainly by the saturation time of the saturable inductors 47, 49, to be equal to or higher than the natural resonance frequency of the inductor and capacitor combination". (Emphasis added)

Of course, saying that the transistor inversion frequency is higher than the natural resonance frequency of the LC circuit, is equivalent to saying that the natural resonance frequency of the LC circuit is lower than the transistor inversion frequency. Moreover, the transistor inversion frequency is the same as the frequency of the AC voltage applied to the LC circuit.

Thus, even the body of the specification expressly supports the very recitation held by Examiner to be unsupported.



Ole K. Nilssen, Pro Se Applicant